1			
2			
3			
4	,		
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
10	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	NO.	
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
12	V.	(Freedom of Information Act,	
13	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	5 U.S.C. § 552)	
14	AGENCY, an agency of the United States, and E. SCOTT PRUITT, in his official capacity as Administrator,		
15	Defendants.		
16	I. INTRODUCTION		
17			
18	1. This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C.		
19	§ 552, seeking the release of records unlawfully withheld by the Environmental Protection		
20	Agency (EPA).		
21	2. On October 31, 2017, EPA Administrator E. Scott Pruitt issued a directive		
22	that purports to prohibit certain people who receive EPA grants from serving on EPA		
23	advisory committees.		
24			
25			
26			

- 3. On March 12, 2018, the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Washington (AGO) submitted a request for certain documents to EPA, via both FOIAonline and the U.S. Postal Service.
- 4. The request sought "All records of any communication from any Environmental Protection Agency employee or designee (including but not limited to Tamue L. Gibson, Edward Hanlon, and Aaron Yeow) to any current or former member of any EPA Federal Advisory Committee, related to Administrator Pruitt's October 31, 2017 directive entitled 'Strengthening and Improving Membership on EPA Federal Advisory Committees'" from October 31, 2017 to March 1, 2018.
- 5. On March 12, 2018, AGO received an automated notice confirming that the FOIA request had been received and assigned a tracking number.
- 6. On March 22, 2018, AGO received a notice that its request for a fee waiver for the FOIA request had been fully granted.
- 7. Aside from the notice regarding the fee waiver, AGO has received no further communication from EPA responding to the request.
- 8. In the months since Administrator Pruitt's directive, members of EPA advisory committees have received correspondence from EPA purporting to implement Administrator Pruitt's directive, and inquiring whether they are principal investigators, coinvestigators, or otherwise receive a direct benefit from an EPA grant.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), and under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this action arises under the

laws of the United States, including FOIA and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

- 10. Venue is proper in this court under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), as the FOIA request was issued from a State of Washington office in Seattle, in the Western District of Washington.
- 11. Because EPA failed to respond to the State of Washington's FOIA request, even to notify AGO of an extension of time, within 20 business days, no administrative appeal is required under 40 CFR § 2.104(a).

III. PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff State of Washington is a sovereign entity and brings this action to protect its own sovereign and proprietary rights, and as *parens patriae* on behalf of its affected citizens and residents. The Attorney General is the chief legal adviser to the State of Washington. The Attorney General's powers and duties include acting in federal court on matters of public concern. This challenge is brought pursuant to the Attorney General's independent constitutional, statutory, and common law authority to bring suit and obtain relief on behalf of the State of Washington.
- 13. Defendant EPA is an agency of the United States. EPA has possession of and control over the records sought by the State of Washington in its March 12, 2018 request.
- 14. Defendant Edward Scott Pruitt is the Administrator of the EPA, and is named only in his official capacity.

IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 15. FOIA requires agencies to "make available to the public information." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a). Any information subject to FOIA must be disclosed. "[E]ach agency, upon any request for records . . . shall make the records promptly available to any person." Id., § 552(a)(3). Additionally, agencies have an affirmative duty to make available "statements of policy and interpretations which have been adopted by the agency" Id., § 552(a)(2)(B).
- 16. "[U]pon any request for records made under [§ 552(a)](1), (2), or (3)," an agency has 20 business days to determine whether to comply. Id., § 552(a)(6)(A). EPA's FOIA regulations also require a response within 20 days. 40 C.F.R. 2.104 (a).
- 17. An agency may only withhold documents that fall within one of the enumerated exemptions from FOIA.
- 18. If an agency withholds documents, it must provide a "Vaughn Index" that adequately identifies the specific documents withheld. See Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820, 827 (D.C. Cir. 1973). "A withholding agency must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original). See Wiener v. FBI, 943 F.2d 972, 978 (9th Cir. 1991) (requiring a Vaughn Index and finding it inadequate); Andrus v. United States Dep't of Energy, 200 F. Supp. 3d 1093, 1105 (D. Idaho 2016) (same).
- 19. A court may review an agency's decision to withhold documents, and "the burden is on the agency to sustain its action." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). An agency cannot justify its withholding by offering nothing more than "[c]onclusory and generalized

allegations." *Nat'l Parks & Conservation Assn' v. Kleppe*, 547 F.2d 673, 680 (D.C. Cir. 1976). When the agency fails to sustain its burden, the court may "order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant." 5 U.S. C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 20. On March 12, 2018, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Washington (AGO) submitted a request for certain documents to EPA, via both FOIAonline and the U.S. Postal Service.
- 21. The March 12, 2018 request sought "All records of any communication from any Environmental Protection Agency employee or designee (including but not limited to Tamue L. Gibson, Edward Hanlon, and Aaron Yeow) to any current or former member of any EPA Federal Advisory Committee, related to Administrator Pruitt's October 31, 2017 directive entitled 'Strengthening and Improving Membership on EPA Federal Advisory Committees'" from October 31, 2017 to March 1, 2018. The request further specified that "This request includes communications sent, received, or stored on personally owned or controlled email accounts, mobile phones, computers or other mobile devices;" "This request includes communications inquiring whether members of Advisory Committees have, administer, or work on EPA grants;" and "This request includes communications requesting that Advisory Committee members resign or refrain from re-appointment to Advisory Committees, or recuse themselves from any matters before the Advisory Committees."
- 22. On March 12, 2018, AGO received an automated notice confirming that the FOIA request had been received and assigned a tracking number.

(206) 326-5494

5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B) 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
24. Aside from the notice regarding the fee waiver, AGO for communication from EPA responding to the request. 25. EPA was required by FOIA to respond to the State of Variety of the request by April 9, 2018. VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Responding to the Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	for the FOIA request had been fully granted.				
communication from EPA responding to the request. 25. EPA was required by FOIA to respond to the State of Virequest by April 9, 2018. VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Responding to the Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	nas received no further				
25. EPA was required by FOIA to respond to the State of Virequest by April 9, 2018. VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Respond to Su.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B) 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
request by April 9, 2018. VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Resp 5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B) 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	Washington's FOIA				
Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Resp 5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B) 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	request by April 9, 2018.				
5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B) 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Respond (All Defendants) 5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B)				
in the preceding paragraphs. 27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	f the allegations set forth				
27. Defendants violated FOIA by failing to respond to the request for records within 20 business days. VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	in the preceding paragraphs.				
VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	State of Washington's				
VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	request for records within 20 business days.				
16					
Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Produce Records (All Defendants) 5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B)					
28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of	of the allegations set forth				
in the preceding paragraphs.					
29. Defendants further violated FOIA by failing to conduct searches reasonably					
calculated to locate the requested records, and promptly provide records, or reasonably					
segregable portions of lawfully exempt responsive records, in response to the State of					
Washington's request for records.					
25					
26					

1	VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
2	The State of Washington respectfully requests that this C		
3	Declare that the Defendants violated FOIA by fai		
4	on the FOIA requests within the time period prescribed by law;		
5	2. Declare that Defendants violated FOIA by failing		
6	·		
7	reasonably calculated to locate the requested records, and promp		
8	records;		
9	3. Order Defendants to provide a determination on t		
10	FOIA request, at no cost to the State.		
11	4. Order Defendants to conduct searches reasonably		
12	requested records, and release all records responsive to the FOIA		
13	this Court's order, at no cost to the State of Washington.		
14			
15	5. Enjoin Defendants from withholding agency reco		
16	all agency records improperly withheld from the State of Washir		
17	6. Retain jurisdiction over this action to ensure the p		
18	Washington's FOIA request is in compliance with FOIA and any		
19	7. Award Plaintiff its costs of litigation, including re		
20	provided by FOIA, and any other applicable provision of law; an		
21			
22	8. Grant such other relief as the Court may deem jus		
23			
24			
25			
26			

nis Court:

- y failing to make a determination aw;
- iling to conduct searches comptly provide all responsive
- on the State of Washington's
- nably calculated to locate the FOIA request within twenty days of
- records and order the production of ashington;
- the processing of the State of d any orders of this Court;
- ng reasonable attorney fees as w; and
 - m just and proper.

1	DATED this day of May, 2018.	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3		By: s/ William R. Sherman
4		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
5		ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General
6		WILLIAM R. SHERMAN, WSBA #29365
7		Assistant Attorney General 800 5th Ave Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, WA 98104-3188
8		(206) 464-6430
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	·	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		•
26		·